

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/827,855	04/06/2001	Krister Draxo	7112	8864	
7	7590 03/12/2003				
JOHNS MANVILLE INTERNATIONAL, INC.			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
Legal Departm		BOYD, JENNIFER A			
P.O. Box 5108			DO 1 D, JEI	INII LIK A	
Denver, CO 8	30217		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1771		
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003	$\mathcal{U}$	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_ ` _	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/827,855	DRAXO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jennifer A Boyd	1771
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	TON.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replition.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (in period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH  y statute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  IS from the mailing date of this communication.
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n 06 April 2001 .	
_	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for	<del></del>	rs, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under the control of Claims	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the appli	cation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are with	drawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16 and 17</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	•	
Applicant may not request that any objection	-	• •
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required		approved by the Examiner.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by t	, •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	no Examinor.	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 35 H.S.C. & 1	19(a) (d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	oreign priority under 00 0.0.0. g 1	13(a)-(d) 01 (1).
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received	
Certified copies of the priority docu		lication No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	• •	•
application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action for	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	mestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).
a)  The translation of the foreign language		
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	mestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§	3 120 and/or 121.
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (RTO 803)		(DTO 440) D
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)		nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Of	fice Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 2

Application/Control Number: 09/827,855 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1- 15, drawn to a process for forming a strippable glass fiber wall covering, classified in class 442, subclass 59.
- II. Claims 16 17, drawn to a strippable glass fiber wall covering, classified in class427, subclass various.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as dip coating.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Robert Touslee on March 7, 2003 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claims 16 17.

  Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1- 15 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/827,855

Art Unit: 1771

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Page 3

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 6. Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. Claims 16 and 17 are indefinite because they are dependent on a non-elected claim.

  Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 16 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Murch (U.S. 3,934,066).

Murch is directed to a fire-retardant, intumescent laminate system which is highly suitable as a flexible wall covering (column 11, lines 54 - 60).

Murch teaches a laminate as shown in Figure 2. Murch teaches that the laminate comprises an intumescent sheet 11, protective layer 12 and adhesive layer 13. The intumescent sheet comprises a porous sheet material, such as glass cloth (column 4, lines 30 - 35), which is impregnated with an intumescent composition (column 3, lines 48 - 68). The protective layer is

Art Unit: 1771

equated to the Applicant's "first dried coating". The adhesive layer is equated to the Applicant's

"second dried coating". The adhesive layer can comprise thermoplastic or thermosets and may be

pressure-sensitive (column 9, lines 32-40). The laminate can be removed from any surface due

to the nature of the adhesive material. The process for forming the stripping glass fiber wall

covering as described in claim 1 from which claims 16 and 17 depend is not found to be

patentably limiting, because the process used to form the product does not impact the final

product.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jennifer A Boyd whose telephone number is 703-305-7082. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday (8:30am - 6:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular

communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Jennifer Boyd

March 10, 2003

Ula Ruddock